Case 3:09-cv-00296-MEJ Document 15 Filed 07/10/09 Page 1 of 4

1	JAMES J. BERGMANN, ESQ., SBN 220447	
2	The Law Office of Donald F. Seth 290 B Street, Suite 205	
3	Santa Rosa, CA 95401 (707) 545-6370 telephone	
4	(707) 545-9770 fax jimbergmann@hotmail.com	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
6	ENID Ğ. RICKLEY	
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES D	SISTRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	5/11/11/0150	O DIVISION
12	ENID G. RICKLEY,	CASE NO. C 09-00296 MEJ
13	Plaintiff,	CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT
14	V.	AND ORDER THEREON
15	NCA FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC., NATIONAL CREDIT ACCEPTANCE, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	Date: July 16, 2009 Time: 10:00 a.m. Courtroom: B, 15 th Floor
16	Defendants.	
17		Honorable Maria-Elena James
18	8 Defendants have not participated in preparing this Case Management Statement; it	
19	was prepared by Plaintiff ENID G. RICKLEY alone. Both named Defendants, NCA	
20	FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. ("NCA") and NATIONAL CREDIT ACCEPTANCE	
21	("NATIONAL") have filed for bankruptcy protection in the United States Bankruptcy Court,	
22	Eastern District of California, Sacramento Division. True and correct copies of these two	
23	Defendants' "Notice of Chapter 7 Bankruptcy Case, Meeting of Creditors, and Deadlines" is	
24	attached hereto as Exhibits A and B.	
25	NCA filed its bankruptcy case, Case No. 09-31129-A-7, on June 1, 2009;	
26	NATIONAL filed its bankruptcy case, Case No. 09-31658-C-7, on June 9, 2009. NCA was	
27		
28	CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT C 09-00296 MEJ	

served with this action on May 13, 2009, and NATIONAL was served on May 31, 2009. A Meeting of Creditors has been set in each case: NCA's is set for July 13, 2009 at 8:00 a.m.; NATIONAL's is set for July 17, 2009 at 1:00 p.m. Both defendants are represented in their bankruptcy proceedings by the same attorney:

John M. O'Donnell, Esq. 915 University Ave. Sacramento, CA 95825 (916)563-7744

Plaintiff's attorney plans to attend the July 13, 2009 Meeting of Creditors, and will inform the Court of any relevant information after the Meeting. Since an automatic stay has been entered as to both Defendants, Plaintiff has prepared this Case Management Statement without participation from Defendants.

No Proof of Claim yet filed: Plaintiff has not filed a Proof of Claim with the Bankruptcy Court as to either Defendant. The Bankruptcy Notices state that there does not appear to be any property available to the trustee to pay creditors. Plaintiff may file Proof(s) of Claim at a later date.

- 1. <u>Jurisdiction and Service</u>: This Court has jurisdiction under the provisions of 15 U.S.C. §1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. §1367. Both defendants have been served, but plaintiff's counsel has not yet been contacted by defense counsel for either defendant. No issues regarding personal jurisdiction or venue are anticipated by Plaintiff.
- 2. Facts: In January, 2008, Defendants began telephoning Plaintiff at work, on her cell phone, and at home, in an attempt to collect a debt they claimed she owed. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants violated the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA") and California's Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("Rosenthal Act") in attempting to collect this debt, in the following ways: (a) Defendants told Plaintiff's supervisor that they were going to send a sheriff to garnish plaintiff's wages, when in fact they had no legal right to do so; (b) Defendants falsely told Plaintiff that they could garnish her security deposit from her landlord,

and that they could draw funds from her credit cards to pay the debt; (c)Defendants told
Plaintiff that they had a "court order" against Plaintiff, when in fact they did not; (d) Defendant
continued calling and sending letters to Plaintiff after they received her written request to cease
all communication with her; and (e) Defendants failed to send Plaintiff a "validation notice"
within five days of their first communication with her.

- 3. <u>Legal Issues</u>: Whether Defendants' acts and omissions violated the FDCPA and Rosenthal Act.
- 4. <u>Motions</u>: Plaintiff may request entry of default, and may move for default judgments as to both defendants, depending on the status of the Bankruptcy Court's automatic stay.
- 5. <u>Amendment of Pleadings</u>: Depending on what Plaintiff's counsel finds out at the Meeting of Creditors on July 13, 2009, Plaintiff may seek leave to amend her Complaint in order to add other defendants.
- 6. through 9. These are not relevant since there is an automatic stay preventing litigation.
- 10. <u>Related Cases</u>: *In re NCA Financial Services, Inc.*, Bankr. E.D. Cal., Case No. 09-31129-A-7; and *In re National Credit Acceptance*, Bankr. E.D. Cal., Case No. 09-31658-C-7.
- 11. <u>Relief</u>: Plaintiff seeks actual and statutory damages as allowed under 15 U.S.C. §1692k and California Civil Code §1788.30.
- 12. <u>Settlement and ADR</u>: Plaintiff is amenable to ADR and will discuss ADR methods with Defendants after they appear, if the bankruptcy stay is lifted or if litigation is otherwise resumed.
- 13. <u>Consent to Magistrate Judge</u>: It is unknown at this time whether all parties will consent to proceed with a Magistrate Judge.
- 14. Other References: Plaintiff does not consent to binding arbitration, but may be amenable to referral by the Court to some other program.
 - 15. Narrowing of Issues: None at this time.

DISTRIC